Analyzing Environmental Policies with IGEM, an Intertemporal General Equilibrium Model of U.S. Growth and the Environment Part 1

Richard J. Goettle Mun S. Ho Dale W. Jorgenson Daniel T. Slesnick Peter J. Wilcoxen

October 2009

Prepared by

Dale Jorgenson Associates

for the

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Assistant Administrator, Air and Radiation Office of Atmospheric Programs Climate Change Division Climate Economics Branch.

PART 1

- 1. The Intertemporal General Equilibrium Model (IGEM)
 - **1.1 Introduction**
 - 1.2 An overview of the Intertemporal General Equilibrium Model
- 2. Data and base case considerations
 - 2.1 The data underlying IGEM and its parameter estimates
 - 2.2 Projections of the exogenous variables
 - 2.3 Environmental accounting in IGEM
 - 2.4 An illustrative IGEM base path
 - 2.5 Calibrated base paths
- 3. The benefits and costs of the Clean Air Act: 1970 to 1990
 - **3.1 Introduction**
 - 3.2 Relationship to previous work
 - **3.3** The costs of compliance
 - 3.4 The benefits from compliance
 - 3.5 Economic performance and welfare
 - 3.6 Energy and the environment
 - **3.7** The structure of economic activity
- 4. Greenhouse gas emissions reduction and marginal abatement costs
 - 4.1 Introduction
 - 4.2 Achieving emissions reductions in IGEM
 - 4.3 Marginal abatement costs in IGEM
- 5. The economic costs of climate change policy: an illustration
 - 5.1 Abstract
 - **5.2 Introduction**
 - 5.3 Policy considerations and marginal abatement costs
 - 5.4 The impacts of mitigation policy
 - 5.5 The mechanisms of economic adjustment
 - 5.6 Model comparisons
 - 5.7 Longer run considerations: banking and beyond 2020
 - **5.8 Base case sensitivity**
 - 5.9 Summary and conclusions
- 6. The role of induced technical change in simulation outcomes
 - 6.1 Introduction
 - 6.2 The role of induced technical change in complying with the Clean Air Act
 - 6.3 The role of induced technical change in climate change policy
- 7. The role of the consumption-leisure tradeoff in simulation outcomes
- 8. Revenue recycling and environmental policy

9. The distributional consequences of climate change policy: new model simulations